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**Peatlands’ past suggests fast-changing future**

As global climate change intensifies, many of the Earth’s ecosystems are threatened with irreversible change. Some will change gradually and predictably; others may undergo sudden, dramatic state shifts when one or more ecological parameters reach a tipping point, resulting in abrupt transitions from one ecosystem to another.

**PREDICTIONS FROM PEAT**

Such sudden state shifts are notoriously difficult to predict under conventional forward modelling approaches. However, rapid ecosystem transformations have occurred in the past and investigating these events may allow scientists to explore the conditions under which they happen, trace their ecological impacts, and estimate the likelihood of future shifts in similar ecosystems. Few recent historical datasets have been explored so far, but Prof Sara Hotchkiss and Prof Robert Booth have identified one ecosystem that may be a useful model system for studying state shifts – the kettle hole-peatland mosaics of northern Wisconsin, US.

Peatlands cover only around 2% of the world’s land area, but punch far above their weight in terms of carbon capture, making them crucial weapons in the fight against climate change. Compared to other carbon sinks such as oil, which are held deep below ground, peat lies within a few metres of the atmosphere, making it highly responsive to changes in climate. Furthermore, peat, comprising partially decayed vegetation, provides a uniquely high-resolution record of the past flora and climate of an area which can be extracted as sediment cores, often dating back tens of thousands of years. Such a record is well-suited to investigating past time periods of abrupt climate change.

**HOW BOGS BECOME**

Kettle holes are created when buried blocks of ice melt as a glacier retreats, leaving lakes. Over time, the lakes are overgrown, and eventually filled, by floating mats of peat. Conventional wisdom suggests that the peat mats develop through gradual and inevitable inward encroachment of plants, independent of a lake’s shape, location, or climate. However, several recent studies suggest that much more rapid and discrete transitions from open water to peatland may have occurred, more characteristic of sudden state shifts.

Palaeoecologists Robert Booth of Lehigh University, Pennsylvania, and Sara Hotchkiss of the University of Wisconsin-Madison have used Wisconsin’s kettle holes to test the hypothesis that peatland develops suddenly, triggered by intermittent drought conditions. Prof Booth and his students had already documented similar transitions in a Pennsylvania bog system using radiocarbon dating and detailed sediment core analyses, finding discrete and rapid episodes of peatland formation, roughly coincident with independently-verified drought events. Together, Booth, Hotchkiss, and their students have developed a new conceptual model, in which fluctuating water levels drive peatland establishment and expansion. For example, falling water levels during droughts cause rapid colonisation of kettle hole lakes by plants such as sedges. During subsequent wetter conditions, lake levels recover, uprooting the vegetation to produce floating mats of peat and plants, which are further colonised by typical peatland plants such as Sphagnum mosses. Beneath the mats, peat accumulates rapidly to infill the lake. They have been testing this model using sediment cores from the kettle hole peats.

**ALL KETTLE HOLES ARE NOT EQUAL**

In Hotchkiss and Booth’s model, climate is not the only factor determining how kettle hole lakes are converted to peatland: shallow kettle holes would be more susceptible to colonisation during short or low magnitude droughts.

**Figure 1** The classic model of kettle peatland development involves expansion of pioneering plants across the lake surface, leading to the gradual encroachment of Sphagnum peatland. In contrast, empirical data support episodic expansion of the floating mat during & after major changes in water level (Ireland & Booth 2011; Ireland et al. 2013; Modica-Buchon et al. 2013; Rockwell & Reyley 2014).

---

**www.researchoutreach.org**
events, while deeper ones would require more prolonged periods of drought. It also follows that kettle lakes at higher altitude – which are generally rainfall-fed – would be more susceptible to colonisation than lower altitude lakes supplied by more reliable groundwater. If these hypotheses are correct, they may be able to predict which kettle holes are most susceptible to peat encroachment over coming decades. Integrated with regional climate forecasts, their projections could help anticipate and even mitigate the effects of climate change on those ecosystems.

Their projections could help anticipate and even mitigate the effects of climate change on these ecosystems.

Figure 2: Fallons Mini-kettle has three major phases: a lake phase before 5300 years ago, a dynamic kettle expansion phase 5300 to 2000 years ago, and isolation of the lake by peatland during the past 2000 years. Prior to peatland establishment the basin accumulated about half as much carbon per year as it did during the past 2000 years.

What do peatlands do for us?

Peatlands provide a vast array of ecosystem services, including flood control, habitat for biodiversity, and global climate regulation through their ability to sequester carbon from the atmosphere. And of course, they also provide us with delicious cranberries!

How can we manage the kettle hole ecosystem better?

We need to think about managing for diversity of landscape features, including kettle lakes that are deep and shallow, high and low in the local watershed, isolated and connected to other lakes and peatlands. Taking this approach to maintaining landscape-level variety can buffer our land management against our ignorance of how these sensitive ecosystems respond to extreme events and unforeseen stresses.

How widespread do you think these sudden state shifts will turn out to be as climate change intensifies?

Will the kettle holes turn out to be an anomaly, or will they be the first of many?

We can expect many sudden state shifts as the frequency of extreme climatic events increases, and we may also see sudden shifts due to internal feedbacks in ecosystems as average climatic conditions slowly drift out of the range in which those internal feedbacks operate. As climate change continues, there will also certainly be surprises.

How do you predict the paradigm of slow, gradual colonisation of kettle lake by peatlands has been so pervasive, until now?

It’s appealing, and it’s a simpler explanation than one that demands a dramatic change in conditions. We examine how the plants that live there now support one another physically, and we infer a slow process of expansion of that support across the surface of the water. To explain the kind of sudden state change that our observations support requires an interval of much drier conditions in the past, without which current conditions can’t be explained. Occam’s Razor is useful in science, but sometimes upon closer observation a more complicated explanation actually fits the data much better than the simple one. In those cases, it can take a long time for the simpler explanation to fade from popular use.

If we began to study a kettle ecosystem during a drought period, we would quickly begin to think of those conditions as normal, and we would be surprised if it were suddenly inundated with water. If you expand your time frame and think of glacial-interglacial cycles, we are quite comfortable with thinking of our current interglacial conditions as normal, but over the past million years interglacial conditions have actually only lasted 10 or 20,000 years out of every 100,000 years. We live in anomalously warm conditions, nested within a broader period of earth history in which it is much more normal to have major continental ice sheets growing.
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What first drew you to the kettle hole ecosystem as somewhere both prone to the impacts of climate change and vital to attempts to mitigate it?

To be honest, we were drawn to kettle lakes because they are so beautiful! Scientifically, we are both interested in the effects of climate variability on their development, and in the ways in which the small hills and valleys in hummocky kettle lakes can maintain higher levels of biodiversity in a region. Of course, because they leave a record of their own development in the form of peat and lake sediments, they are particularly well-suited to paleoecological studies.
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We can expect many sudden state shifts as the frequency of extreme climatic events increases, and we may also see sudden shifts due to internal feedbacks in ecosystems as average climatic conditions slowly drift out of the range in which those internal feedbacks operate. As climate change continues, there will also certainly be surprises.
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If we began to study a kettle ecosystem during a drought period, we would quickly begin to think of those conditions as normal, and we would be surprised if it were suddenly inundated with water. If you expand your time frame and think of glacial-interglacial cycles, we are quite comfortable with thinking of our current interglacial conditions as normal, but over the past million years interglacial conditions have actually only lasted 10 or 20,000 years out of every 100,000 years. We live in anomalously warm conditions, nested within a broader period of earth history in which it is much more normal to have major continental ice sheets growing.

Research Objectives

Prof Booth and Hotchkiss’ research uses the paleoecological record preserved in kettle hole ecosystems of northern Wisconsin to assess the potential for climate-induced ecosystem state shifts, as well as the ecological effects of these events.
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Hotchkiss and Booth’s research may be relevant, and it’s a simpler explanation than one that demands a dramatic change in conditions. We examine how the plants that live there now support one another physically, and we infer a slow process of expansion of that support across the surface of the water. To explain the kind of sudden state change that our observations support requires an interval of much drier conditions in the past, without which current conditions can’t be explained. Occam’s Razor is useful in science, but sometimes upon closer observation a more complicated explanation actually fits the data much better than the simple one. In those cases, it can take a long time for the simpler explanation to fade from popular use.

If we began to study a kettle ecosystem during a drought period, we would quickly begin to think of those conditions as normal, and we would be surprised if it were suddenly inundated with water. If you expand your time frame and think of glacial-interglacial cycles, we are quite comfortable with thinking of our current interglacial conditions as normal, but over the past million years interglacial conditions have actually only lasted 10 or 20,000 years out of every 100,000 years. We live in anomalously warm conditions, nested within a broader period of earth history in which it is much more normal to have major continental ice sheets growing.