We all face daily decision making under uncertainty. Everyone has a different tolerance for the level of risk that they are comfortable accepting and the amount of uncertainty they are happy to make decisions within, which is also known as their ambiguity preference. Traditionally, ambiguity preferences have been measured in economic laboratory environments using complicated and time consuming tasks. As a result, measurements of ambiguity preferences of the general public are extremely rare. Elisa Cavatorta, Associate Professor in Finance at Birkbeck College, and David Schröder, Associate Professor in Finance at Birkbeck College, proposed a questionnaire that allows measuring of ambiguity preferences in the general public, while retaining the accuracy of measurements in laboratory environments. Discover your own ambiguity preferences: www.ambiguity-preferences.org.

Every decision and action that we take in life is associated with a degree of uncertainty, whether we cross a road, what we invest our money in, what career we follow and the thousands of other decisions that we make on a daily basis. Over the years, economists and psychologists have studied different factors that affect how individuals make decisions under uncertainty so that they can better understand what drives the behaviours that we see in the world. One of the underlying factors that explain individual behaviour under uncertainty is the different degree of tolerance anyone has for situations of uncertainty, in other words their individual preferences. To apply the behavioural models proposed in the scientific literature, it is important to accurately measure these preference driving our behaviour. Elisa Cavatorta, Associate Professor at the Department of Political Economy at King’s College London, University College London, and David Schröder, Associate Professor in Finance at Birkbeck College, University College London, noticed that existing approaches to measure uncertainty attitudes are overly complex and therefore rarely used to measure preferences outside economic laboratories. To improve the ability to measure uncertainty attitudes and make their measurement more accessible, they designed a new questionnaire to facilitate the assessment of the preferences guiding everyday decision making under uncertainty.

UNDERSTANDING RISK AND AMBIGUITY
The most common factor that people associate with decision making under uncertainty is risk, and how tolerant an individual is towards risky scenarios. In a risk-based situation, we have a sense of the likelihood of the different outcomes that our decisions could deliver. For example, if you roll a fair dice, you know that you have a one in six chance of getting a specific number. Likewise, outcomes of recurring situations may involve known likelihoods: if your parent cooks their usual signature dish, you know the chances that it tastes delicious.

In many situations however, there is an additional degree of uncertainty about the potential outcomes of our decisions and actions. For example, if you hear that the dice that you are about to use has a flaw that means it will not roll fairly, you can no longer accurately predict the likelihood of rolling the number four. If a stranger cooks for you, the probability that the dish is delicious can be vague. Thanks to the work of Knight (1921) and Ellsberg (1961), this degree of uncertainty over vague or unknown probabilities is referred to as ambiguity. Different people have a different “taste” for the lack of accurate information about the probabilities of given outcomes and will respond differently.

Our preferences towards ambiguity guide the decisions that we make under uncertainty. There isn’t an optimal decision that fits all. Optimal decisions for everyone depend on one’s own preferences. If we can accurately measure ambiguity preferences, then we have a powerful insight into human behaviour, that is, how people make choices subject to limited information.

MEASURING AMBIGUITY PREFERENCES
Traditionally ambiguity attitudes have been measured within a controlled economic laboratory environment. Ambiguity tests have focused on specific decision tasks involving known and unknown probabilities, often complex to understand and requiring lengthy explanations. This method has produced very accurate results; however, the complexity of these tasks makes them impractical to roll out on a large enough scale to understand the decision-making behaviours that we see in the general population.

Elisa Cavatorta and David Schröder researched ambiguity preferences in great detail. They started from the results in a laboratory setting, but the researchers were motivated to find a more practical way of measuring ambiguity preferences outside of these experiments. They knew that an online survey questionnaire or a questionnaire that could be conducted by telephone would be a far more practical mechanism for collecting data from much larger groups of participants and be more practical for researchers who conduct field studies.

SURVEY DESIGN
Cavatorta and Schröder have designed a simple survey questionnaire, which accurately measures ambiguity preferences. Their work has been inspired by various studies that recommended using surveys to measure other economic preferences. In their 2019 paper, they develop a measurement for ambiguity preferences, adding to existing ones designed to elicit preferences for risk, trust and impatience. The research team developed their questionnaire using a sample of 121 students from various colleges of the University of London. The idea was to find the best combination of survey questions that would most accurately predict ambiguity preferences elicited with the well-established approach in the laboratory setting. The challenge was to find the best combination of these survey questions. The research team selected around 50 possible candidate survey questions of various types. Some of these questions are short thought-experiments where participants make choices in some hypothetical games (e.g. selecting the preferred option between one unknown, i.e., ambiguous, probability and one with known probability, i.e. risky). Some questions are attitudinal questions from the psychology literature, in which participants assess how much they like or dislike a situation.

The researchers considered the predictive power of all combinations of the candidate questions. Using a selection process that evaluates all possible combinations, they found that the best predictors is a data-driven method that minimises forms of bias in the selection process. The result is a five survey-item questionnaire that provides an individual ambiguity preferences score that correlates well with the ambiguity preference score that would come out in a laboratory setting. This
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Cavatorta and Schröder have designed a survey questionnaire to measure ambiguity preferences.

This questionnaire provides the opportunity to conduct large-scale studies into the impact of ambiguity preferences on economic and social behaviour. Given the uncertainty surrounding many decisions in every-day life, applications of the measurement can be wide-ranging. The current pandemic demonstrates people have different preferences for ambiguity and this guides different reactions and health behaviour. Another application concerns the financial services industry: the industry has traditionally focused on risk preferences when recommending the most suitable investment options to its clients. Risk preference assessments help us to understand one element of what makes an investment a good match for an individual. However, investments often involve unknown risks (i.e. ambiguity), so the measurement can assist financial services professionals to better tailor their product recommendations to the client’s tastes and needs.

MEASURING YOUR AMBIGUITY PREFERENCES
Cavatorta and Schröder have made their questionnaire freely available to everyone and free, and you can complete it on a mobile device or a computer. You can see how your preferences compare to those of other people of the same age, the same gender and those living in the same country. You can also receive a copy of your results by email.

These insights will help you to understand how you make decisions in uncertain situations and how your natural preferences compare to those of others. At the same time, you help advancement in scientific research.

Completing the questionnaire will help you to understand how you make decisions in uncertain situations and how your natural preferences compare to those of others.
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Personal Response
How would you like to further develop your research into ambiguity preferences?
In a next step, we aim to get a better understanding of the determinants of individual ambiguity preferences: Are men more or less ambiguity tolerant than women, and why? Do we get more ambiguity averse as we get older? How do culture, educational background and life experiences influence the formation of our preferences? We are also interested in understanding the behavioural consequences of risk and ambiguity preferences: for example, how do these preferences influence our daily decisions?

How can individuals best use the insights provided by their results from the ambiguity preference survey?
By participating in our survey, individuals can get a better understanding about their attitude towards the two dimensions of uncertainty: risk and ambiguity. Quite often, we consider ourselves as either uncertainty tolerant or averse. Yet, individuals taking our survey might find out more nuances because risk and ambiguity are different. Various patterns are possible. For example, Elisa and David are different: Elisa is ambiguity tolerant and David is ambiguity averse, though both of us are risk averse. Being aware of these patterns may help anyone to recognise and reflect on their own behaviour and the decisions they take in their daily lives.
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Research Objectives
Cavatorta and Schröder have developed a questionnaire that measures ambiguity preferences in the general public, while retaining the accuracy of measurements in laboratory environments.
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