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Could acesulfame potassium be leaving the bittersweet taste of a toxic environment?

Our modern sewage is defined by many potential toxic threats from new chemical compounds we use in our daily lives. One potentially unexpected entrant for this phenomenon is acesulfame potassium (ACE-K), a calorie-free sweetener. As it is not digested by the body, ACE-K is excreted straight into the toilet, arriving in our wastewater and surface water. Dr Patrick Guiney, Principal Scientist at ECOTOX-Guiney Consulting, leads the first systematic review to examine whether ACE-K’s increasing presence in our wastewater might make it the latest chemical that could be toxic to the environment. He found that, thankfully, ACE-K has a negligible impact on the environment.

In recent decades, our society has become more and more preoccupied with waste. As metropolises expand and the population of the planet continues to grow, more waste needs to be managed. This includes an increase in sewage and wastewater. Having more people means more toilets and taps to flush away the various water-based waste we produce, from excretions being flushed to the cooking oils washed off from pans.

It isn’t surprising that the sewage contains a cocktail of chemicals that require the water to be treated at wastewater plants. Even with the modern and sophisticated sewage and water treatment systems that exist in some countries, some chemicals and pollutants can survive the treatment process. This may pose a threat to people and animals, by contributing to environmental contamination. Endocrine disruptor chemicals from pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and personal care products are an example of a class of chemicals suspected to be changing the reproductive sex of aquatic animals.

A perhaps unexpected wastewater chemical is acesulfame potassium (ACE-K). ACE-K is one of several low- and no-calorie sweeteners (LNCS) used as a healthy alternative to sugar. Serendipitously discovered by Karl Clauss in 1967, ACE-K was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a food/drink sweetener in 1988. It has become a popular calorie-free alternative to sugar, perhaps because it is 200 times sweeter than sucrose and therefore, less is needed in order to achieve the desired level of sweetness in food and beverage products.

ACE-K is sold directly in Sweet One and Sunett sweeteners, and is used to flavour many products, including (but not limited to) baking products, sodas, fruit juices, desserts, and toothpaste. In the last few decades, LNCS have become much more widely used as a less calcic alternative to sugar. Whereas sugar is absorbed at a calcic cost, ACE-K isn’t even absorbed by the body. Instead, ACE-K is excreted out of the body unchanged. But what if there is a price to pay for a calorie-free sweetness?

**AN ARTIFICIALLY BITTER SWEET TASTE?**

LNCS have been subject of much scepticism and controversy, with a lot of discussion around the potential for negative side effects. Researchers have raised concerns about cancer, kidney disease, controlling the body’s blood sugar levels, and problems with pregnancy. While U.S. and European regulatory agencies have stated such sweeteners are safe, research has begun to identify a different potential concern with ACE-K: possible environmental problems associated with wastewater contamination.

As ACE-K isn’t absorbed by the body, it is excreted into the toilet, where it makes its way to the local sewage wastewater treatment system. Ultimately, if the wastewater treatment plant cannot biodegrade it, ACE-K can potentially enter the environment, including various water bodies. Traditionally, the life of ACE-K outside of the body has been ignored. It’s only in recent years that new environmental research has begun to probe the question of environmental health. As its concentration in surface water has increased, researchers have recently grown concerned that it could be the latest in a list of potentially toxic pollutants to be manufactured by man and released into the aquatic environment.

One of the consequences of it being a new research topic is that there has yet to have been any systematic review of the research into ACE-K’s possible environmental impacts, and no way to discern a clear agreement on the topic. This is problematic for anyone who needs an accessible and reliable assessment on the scientific literature around ACE-K, like risk managers and policymakers who are tasked to create policy to stop environmental damage.

Dr Patrick Guiney, President of ECOTOX-Guiney Consulting and Adjunct Professor at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, has led the publication of a review paper on the environmental risks of ACE-K. Dr Guiney and his team have, for the first time, collated and evaluated the totality of available environmental data on ACE-K based on reliable and relevant data for an environmental risk assessment from published scientific papers as well as the grey literature. They used expert scientific judgment to assess, review and integrate all of the data to form a meaningful conclusion on the predicted environmental impacts of ACE-K.

Dr Guiney and his colleagues began by looking to focus on surface water, referring to any natural water that has not penetrated much below the surface of the ground which are part of the water cycle. This includes the so-called bodies of water such as lakes and wetlands. By carefully evaluating the scientific literature surrounding ACE-K’s chemical structure and properties, they were able to predict where it could partition in the environment. The chemical structure of ACE-K lends itself to being absorbed eagerly in water, which is unsurprising given that it has been detected in wastewater and surface water generally in the lower parts per billion (ppb) range.

**REVIEWING ACE-K IN THE ENVIRONMENT**

Fortunately, though, soil doesn’t absorb ACE-K with the same enthusiasm as water (i.e., parts per trillion [ppt]) in sludge and
The concentration of ACE-K would still be 3.5 orders of magnitude less than the minimum concentration of toxicity needed to harm aquatic organisms.

Interestingly, Dr Guiney notes a recent shift in the literature, with research after 2014 reporting that ACE-K, in fact, is quite biodegradable in several WWTPs around the world. However, it’s not fully clear as to why this is the case. The cause for this shift could be higher, more efficient operating temperatures found in some WWTPs, or the evolution and establishment of populations of new bacteria that can more easily metabolise ACE-K – quite literally eat it for breakfast. Regardless of the mechanism in motion, it does mean that ACE-K isn’t at risk of a stealthy long-term build-up towards toxic concentrations. On the contrary, we might even anticipate measurable decreases in the concentration of ACE-K in surface waters as it has been reported in some rivers in Germany, where reductions of ACE-K concentrations of between 70% and 80% have been observed for the studied period of 2013 to 2016 (Kahl et al., 2018).

MOVING FORWARD WITH ACE-K RESEARCH

While this preliminary ACE-K environmental risk assessment is encouraging, we should not assume our work is done. Researchers can still do useful work to identify the degrading enzymes in bacteria on an ACE-K diet and sequence their genomes to understand how it can break down ACE-K. There is also a need for increased efforts to isolate and characterise ACE-K-degrading bacteria from different regions. Other issues, such as the impact of sunlight on ACE-K degradation, is another area where there is a lack of clarity in current research. Despite these clouds within our knowledge, the broader positive messages are now apparent to everyone.

ACE-K has a negligible impact on the environment, posing little threat to the aquatic life that the planet depends upon. This information, while not the headline-capturing drama that often captivates the general public’s interest, is equally important as we continue to evaluate the resources we consume, and what that consumption leaves behind. As we continue to flush away more wastewater, with an increasingly varied cornucopia of new chemical compounds, it’s more important than ever to be vigilant about the professional values and applications we use when developing and describing the science of environmental risk assessment that ensure transparency, reliability, and reduction of bias related to the potential impacts of these chemicals on our wastewaters and aquatic ecosystem.

It’s vital that we know what we are flushing down the toilet or pouring into the drains of our sinks, as well as the impact it has once it leaves our bodies and homes.
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Personal Response

From an environmental risk assessment perspective, what do you feel is the significance of the recent published findings of enhanced biodegradation of ACE-K around the world?

Published studies prior to around 2014 tended to conclude that ACE was resistant to biodegradation, often only being biodegraded to relatively minor extents (typically ranging between 5-41%). This limited metabolism of ACE-K was thought to be associated with co-metabolic processes, and led to the suggestion that this low-calorie sweetener might be used as a wastewater input tracer in surface waters. The more recent findings that significantly higher ACE-K biodegradation (as high as >97%) have been observed in multiple WWTPs around the world suggest that the idea of using ACE-K as an environmental wastewater tracer has fallen into disuse. We now know that bacterial degradation of ACE-K is a catalytic process which leads to complete mineralisation and incorporation of the carbon-yielding part of the ACE-K molecule back to the environment. The other important feature of this observed enhanced biodegradation of ACE-K is that the assurance that the current large margins of safety established in our review should remain in effect for a long-time despite the increasing usage of ACE-K.